

Second-order differential equations and non-conservative Lagrangian mechanics

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1987 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 20 5393

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/20/15/050>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 129.252.86.83

The article was downloaded on 31/05/2010 at 20:53

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

COMMENT

Second-order differential equations and non-conservative Lagrangian mechanics

Manuel de León[†] and Paulo R Rodrigues[‡]

[†] CECIME, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Serrano 123, 28006 Madrid, Spain

[‡] Departamento de Geometria, Instituto de Matemática, Universidade Federal Fluminense, 24000 Niterói, RJ, Brazil

Received 28 April 1987

Abstract. We give an extension to non-conservative Lagrangian systems of a previous work published in this journal.

In a recent paper published in this journal, Sarlet *et al* (1984) proposed a new look at the geometrical formulation of Lagrangian theory. Their basic idea consists of establishing a relation in which a second-order differential equation is a Lagrange equation. The authors consider a special set of 1-forms, denoted here by Δ_ξ^1 and defined by

$$\Delta_\xi^1 = \{ \alpha \in \Delta^1(TM); (\mathcal{L}_\xi \circ J^*)(\alpha) = \alpha \}$$

where ξ is a second-order differential equation, $\Delta^1(TM)$ is the set of all 1-forms on TM , the tangent bundle of a m -dimensional manifold M , \mathcal{L}_ξ is the Lie derivative and J^* is the adjoint endomorphism on $\Delta^1(TM)$ induced by the almost tangent structure $J: T(TM) \rightarrow T(TM)$ on the double tangent bundle $T(TM)$ of M .

If we associate to each ξ an appropriate 1-form α belonging to Δ_ξ^1 , then we may obtain the Lagrange equations of motion. For this α must be exact, $\alpha = dL$, and L must be a regular function on TM .

It is the purpose of the present comment to enlarge such a point of view to the non-conservative regular situation.

Let us first recall that a mechanical system \mathcal{M} is a triple (M, F, Γ) , where M is a smooth finite manifold of dimension m , F is a smooth function on TM and Γ is a semibasic Pfaff form on TM , called the force field. Suppose that the closed 2-form $\omega_F = -dd_j F$ on TM is symplectic. Then it can be shown that there is a unique semispray ξ satisfying the equation

$$i_\xi \omega_F = dE_F + \Gamma \tag{1}$$

where $E_F = V(F) - F$ is the energy of F . In local coordinates (a^A, v^A) expression (1) assumes the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial v^A} \right) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial q^A} = -\chi_A. \tag{2}$$

A mechanical system \mathcal{M} is conservative if the force field Γ is a closed semibasic form. If there is a smooth function $U: TM \rightarrow R$ such that $\Gamma = p_M^*(dU)$ (where $p_M: TM \rightarrow M$ is the canonical projection) then \mathcal{M} is said to be a Lagrangian system. In such a case (2) assumes the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^A} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^A} = 0$$

where L is defined by $L = F + U \circ p_M$.

In this comment we will consider non-conservative mechanical systems, i.e. where the force field Γ is not closed. As the results obtained are similar to those of Sarlet *et al* we will discuss here only the main modifications.

Let ξ be a semispray on TM , i.e. a second-order differential equation. We associate to ξ a R -bilinear operator

$$\Delta^1(TM) \times \Delta^1(TM) \xrightarrow{E_{\xi,j}} \Delta^1(TM)$$

defined by $E_{\xi,j}(\alpha, \beta) = E_\xi \alpha + J^* \beta$. Now, let us put

$$\Delta^1_{\xi,j} = \ker E_{\xi,j}$$

i.e.

$$\Delta^1_{\xi,j} = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Delta^1(TM) \times \Delta^1(TM); E_\xi \alpha = -J^* \beta\}.$$

The elements of $\Delta^1_{\xi,j}$ are locally of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= (\xi(\bar{\alpha}_A) + \bar{\beta}_A) dq^A + \bar{\alpha}_A dv^A \\ \beta &= \beta_A dq^A + \bar{\beta}_A dv^A. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

We note that $E_{\xi,j}(f\alpha, f\beta) = (\xi f)(J^* \alpha) + fE_{\xi,j}(\alpha, \beta)$. Hence $\Delta^1_{\xi,j}$ is a real vector space but is not a module over the ring of functions. It is, however, a module over the ring of the constants of motion. Next we shall relate Δ^1_ξ and $\Delta^1_{\xi,j}$. Let $j: \Delta^1(TM) \rightarrow \Delta^1(TM) \times \Delta^1(TM)$, $j(\alpha) = (\alpha, 0)$, be the canonical injection. Then $E_{\xi,j} \circ j = E_\xi$ and so $j(\Delta^1_\xi) \subset \Delta^1_{\xi,j}$.

Definition. A pair of 1-forms (α, β) is said to be *regular* if $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Delta^1_{\xi,j}$ and the 2-form $\omega_\alpha = -d(J^* \alpha)$ is symplectic. The form β is called the *force field*. A semispray ξ is called a *non-conservative* vector field if there is a regular pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Delta^1_{\xi,j}$ such that α is exact, say $\alpha = dF$.

The condition $E_\xi(dF) = -J^* \beta$ which characterises ξ may be rewritten in the form

$$i_\xi \omega_F = dE_F + \Gamma \quad (\omega_F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \omega_{dF}) \tag{4}$$

where $\Gamma = -J^* \beta$ is a semibasic form on TM and, taking into account (3), from (4) we deduce

$$\xi \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial v^A} \right) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial q^A} = -\bar{\beta}_A \quad 1 \leq A \leq m$$

which yields a system of Lagrange equations with non-conservative forces. Similar results presented by Sarlet *et al* may be re-obtained. For instance, it is easy to see that 'if R is a tensor field of type (1.1) on TM satisfying $JR = RJ$ and $J(\mathcal{L}_\xi R) = 0$, then $R^* \circ E_{\xi,j} = E_{\xi,j} \circ (R^* \times R^*)$ and so $R^* \times R^*$ preserves $\Delta^1_{\xi,j}$ '.

We may also introduce a kind of dual of $\Delta_{\xi, J}^1$ (see Sarlet *et al* 1984). We define a subset of $\chi(TM)$ by

$$\chi_{\xi} = \{Y \in \chi(TM); J[\xi, Y] = 0\}.$$

Then χ_{ξ} is a real vector space, but as $J[\xi, fY] = [\xi f, JY] + fJ[\xi, Y]$ one has that χ_{ξ} is not a $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(TM)$ module. However, it is a module over the constants of motion. Locally the elements of χ_{ξ} have the form

$$Y = Y^A \frac{\partial}{\partial q^A} + \xi(Y^A) \frac{\partial}{\partial v^A}.$$

If we define $\langle Y, (\alpha, \beta) \rangle = (\langle Y, \alpha \rangle, \langle Y, \beta \rangle)$ then we have

$$\langle Y, (\alpha, \beta) \rangle = ((\xi(\bar{\alpha}_A) + \bar{\beta}_A)Y^A + \bar{\alpha}_A \xi(Y^A), \beta_A Y^A + \bar{\beta}_A \xi(Y^A)) \tag{5}$$

for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Delta_{\xi, J}^1, Y \in \chi_{\xi}$. As in the conservative situation, we deduce that if (5) holds for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Delta_{\xi, J}^1$ then Y must be in χ_{ξ} and $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Delta_{\xi, J}^1$.

To end this comment let us examine symmetries which are not of point type, i.e. vector fields Y on TM satisfying $J[\xi, Y] = 0$. We recall that for any vector field Y on TM there is a unique tensor field R_Y of type (1.1) on TM such that $(R_Y - \mathcal{L}_Y J) \circ J = 0, R_Y J = J R_Y$ and $J(\mathcal{L}_{\xi} R_Y) = 0$. The tensor R_Y is given by

$$R_Y = (\mathcal{L}_Y J)(\mathcal{L}_{\xi} J) + (\mathcal{L}_{[\xi, Y]} J)J.$$

Proposition. If Y is a symmetry of ξ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Delta_{\xi, J}^1$ satisfies

$$J^* R_Y^* \alpha = J^* df \quad R_Y^* \beta = dg \tag{6}$$

for some $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(TM)$, then

$$(\alpha', \beta') \in \Delta_{\xi, J}^1$$

where $\alpha' = \mathcal{L}_Y \alpha - d(\xi f)$ and $\beta' = \mathcal{L}_Y \beta - d(\xi g)$.

Proof. From the above definition of $\alpha' \beta'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J^* \alpha' &= J^*(\mathcal{L}_Y \alpha) - J^* d(\xi f) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_Y(J^* \alpha) - (\mathcal{L}_Y J)^* \alpha - J^* d(\xi f) \end{aligned}$$

since $(\mathcal{L}_Y J)^* = \mathcal{L}_Y \circ J^* - J^* \circ \mathcal{L}_Y$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\xi}(J^* \alpha') &= \mathcal{L}_{\xi}(\mathcal{L}_Y(J^* \alpha)) - \mathcal{L}_{\xi}((\mathcal{L}_Y J)^* \alpha) - \mathcal{L}_{\xi}(J^* d(\xi f)) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_Y(\mathcal{L}_{\xi}(J^* \alpha)) - \mathcal{L}_{\xi}((\mathcal{L}_Y J)^* \alpha) - \mathcal{L}_{\xi}(J^* d(\xi f)) \end{aligned}$$

(since $\mathcal{L}_Y \circ \mathcal{L}_{\xi} = \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \circ \mathcal{L}_Y$)

$$= \mathcal{L}_Y \alpha + \mathcal{L}_Y(J^* \beta) - \mathcal{L}_{\xi}((\mathcal{L}_Y J)^* \alpha) - \mathcal{L}_{\xi}(J^* d(\xi f)). \tag{7}$$

On the other hand, $(R_Y^* \alpha, R_Y^* \beta) \in \Delta_{\xi, J}^1$; then we have

$$R_Y^* \alpha = \mathcal{L}_{\xi}(J^* R_Y^* \alpha) - J^* R_Y^* \beta = \mathcal{L}_{\xi}(J^* df) - J^*(dg).$$

The vector field Y is a symmetry of ξ , therefore $Y \in \chi_{\xi}$. In such a case $R_Y = (\mathcal{L}_Y J)(\mathcal{L}_{\xi} J)$, because

$$(\mathcal{L}_{[\xi, Y]} J)J = -J(\mathcal{L}_{[\xi, Y]} J) = 0$$

since $J(\mathcal{L}_Z J)$ vanishes for all $Z \in \chi(TM)$. Therefore we deduce that

$$R_Y^* \alpha = (\mathcal{L}_\xi J^*)(\mathcal{L}_Y J^*) \alpha = (\mathcal{L}_\xi J)^* df + J^* \mathcal{L}_\xi(df) - J^*(dg).$$

Operating on both sides with $(\mathcal{L}_\xi J)^*$, we see that

$$(\mathcal{L}_Y J)^* \alpha = df + J^* d(\xi f) + J^*(dg). \quad (8)$$

Therefore, taking the Lie derivative of (8) with respect to ξ , we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi(J^* \alpha') = \mathcal{L}_Y \alpha + \mathcal{L}_Y(J^* \beta) - d(\xi f) - \mathcal{L}_\xi(J^* dg). \quad (9)$$

With (9), the relation (7) reduces to

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi(J^* \alpha') = \mathcal{L}_Y \alpha + \mathcal{L}_Y(J^* \beta) - d(\xi f) - \mathcal{L}_\xi(J^* dg).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha' - \mathcal{L}_\xi(J^* \alpha') &= -\mathcal{L}_Y(J^* \beta) + \mathcal{L}_\xi(J^* dg) \\ &= -(\mathcal{L}_Y J)^* \beta^* - J^*(\mathcal{L}_Y \beta) + (\mathcal{L}_\xi J)^* dg + J^* d(\xi g). \end{aligned}$$

But from (6) we deduce

$$(\mathcal{L}_\xi J)^*(\mathcal{L}_Y J)^* \beta = dg.$$

Now, operating again on both sides with $(\mathcal{L}_\xi J)^*$, we obtain

$$(\mathcal{L}_Y J)^* \beta = (\mathcal{L}_\xi J)^* dg.$$

Hence

$$\alpha' - \mathcal{L}_\xi(J^* \alpha') = -J^*(\mathcal{L}_Y \beta - d(\xi g))$$

or, equivalently,

$$E_\xi \alpha' = -J^* \beta'$$

and so $(\alpha', \beta') \in \Delta_{\xi, J}^1$.

We invite the reader to establish a generalisation of Noether's theorem for the present situation, as was proposed by Sarlet *et al.*

Reference

Sarlet W, Cantrijn F and Crampin M 1984 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **17** 1999–2009